HLA-DRB1 *16:01-DQB1 *05:02 is a novel genetic risk factor for flupirtine-induced liver injury

Paola Nicoletti^a, Anneke N. Werk^f, Ashley Sawle^c, Yufeng Shen^{a,b}, Thomas J. Urban^d, Sally A. Coulthard^h, Einar S. Bjornsson^j, Ingolf Cascorbi^f, Aris Floratos^{a,b}, Thomas Stammschulte^g, Ursula Gundert-Remy^g, Matthew R. Nelson^e, Guruprasad P. Aithal^{i,*} and Ann K. Daly^{h,*}; on behalf of the International Drug-induced Liver Injury Consortium (iDILIC)

Objective Flupirtine is a nonopioid analgesic with regulatory approval in a number of European countries. Because of the risk of serious liver injury, its use is now limited to short-term pain management. We aimed to identify genetic risk factors for flupirtine-related drug-induced liver injury (DILI) as these are unknown.

Materials and methods Six flupirtine-related DILI patients from Germany were included in a genome-wide association study (GWAS) involving a further 614 European cases of DILI because of other drugs and 10 588 population controls. DILI was diagnosed by causality assessment and expert review. Human leucocyte antigen (HLA) and single nucleotide polymorphism genotypes were imputed from the GWAS data, with direct HLA typing performed on selected cases to validate HLA predictions. Four replication cases that were unavailable for the GWAS were genotyped by direct HLA typing, yielding an overall total of 10 flupirtine DILI cases.

Results In the six flupirtine DILI cases included in the GWAS, we found a significant enrichment of the *DRB1*16:01-DQB1*05:02* haplotype compared with the controls (minor allele frequency cases 0.25 and minor allele frequency controls 0.013; $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$). We estimated an odds ratio for haplotype carriers of 18.7 (95% confidence interval 2.5–140.5, P = 0.002) using population-specific HLA control data. The result was replicated in four additional cases, also with a haplotype frequency of 0.25. In the

Introduction

Flupirtine is a nonopioid analgesic that appears to act as a selective neuronal potassium channel-opening agent [1]. It was approved for use in Germany and Austria in 1984 and subsequently in a further 10 European Union countries, but it has been used particularly widely in Germany [2,3]. Flupirtine has been implicated in a number of cases of serious liver injury including those leading to acute liver failure and fatality reported through

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's website (*www.pharmacogeneticsandgenomics.com*).

1744-6872 Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

combined cohort (six GWAS plus four replication cases), the haplotype was also significant (odds ratio 18.7, 95% confidence interval 4.31–81.42, $P = 6.7 \times 10^{-5}$).

Conclusion We identified a novel HLA class II association for DILI, confirming the important contribution of HLA genotype towards the risk of DILI generally. *Pharmacogenetics and Genomics* 00:000–000 Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Pharmacogenetics and Genomics 2016, 00:000-000

Keywords: adverse drug reaction, flupirtine, genome-wide association study, hepatotoxicity, HLA allele, pharmacogenomics

Departments of ^aSystems Biology, ^bBiomedical Informatics, ^cThe Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University, New York, New York, ^dUNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, ^eTarget Sciences, GSK, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, USA, ¹Institute for Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, ⁹Drug Commission of the German Medical Association (DCGMA), Berlin, Germany, ^hInstitute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, ¹National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit, Nottingham, UK and ¹Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, The National University Hospital of Iceland, Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

Correspondence to Ann K. Daly, PhD, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK Tel: + 44 191 208 7031; e-mail: a.k.daly@ncl.ac.uk

 $^{\star}\mbox{Guruprasad}$ P. Aithal and Ann K. Daly contributed equally to the writing of this article.

Received 4 December 2015 Accepted 1 February 2016

spontaneous adverse drug reaction (ADR) reporting in Germany [3]; on the basis of a review by European Medicines Agency's Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee, the drug is now recommended by German regulators only for short-term use in cases where other analgesics such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are contraindicated [4]. The precise incidence of liver injury in those treated with the drug remains slightly unclear, with estimates ranging from 31% of patients exposed to at least 6 weeks of treatment in a clinical trial showing some increase in liver enzymes [5] down to 0.8 in 10 000 on the basis of ADR reports in the German

DOI: 10.1097/FPC.000000000000209

Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

health authority (BfArM) database [3]. In a hospital-based case–control study from Berlin, flupirtine was the drug with the strongest association with acute DILI, with an odds ratio (OR) of 40 [95% confidence interval (CI) 5.5–856.9] [6]. The liver injury pattern observed is predominantly hepatocellular and liver biopsies have shown perivenular necrosis with mild-to-moderate lymphocytic infiltration [2]. In addition, cases of acute liver failure and death from flupirtine have been included in the German spontaneous reporting system [4].

The metabolism of flupirtine is complex, but does not involve the cytochromes P450 to any significant extent. The parent drug is subject to *N*-glucuronidation and may also be hydrolysed by esterases, followed by *N*-acetylation to form an active metabolite D13223 [7]. Flupirtine and D13223 are also oxidized by peroxidases, generating reactive quinone imines, which can be conjugated with glutathione [7]. In a recent in-vivo study, *NAT2*, *UGT1A1* and *GSTP1* genotypes did not affect the metabolic profile, but some evidence was obtained that the *GSTP1* genotype for rs1695 and the rarer rs1138272, which are both associated with amino acid changes, affected oral bioavailability [8].

To gain further insights into genetic factors affecting susceptibility to flupirtine-induced liver injury, we analysed six cases that were recruited as part of a larger multidrug genome-wide association study (GWAS) on drug-induced liver injury (DILI) because of a variety of different drugs. We report an association for flupirtinerelated injury with a specific class II human leucocyte antigen (HLA) haplotype and describe the confirmation and replication of this finding in four additional cases.

Materials and methods DILI cases

Recruitment was performed as part of the larger International DILI Consortium (iDILIC) study. We recruited 11 individuals (10 women and one man, mean age at date of DILI was 55 years) who were suspected of having suffered flupirtine-related DILI, but had recovered following drug withdrawal. The cases were identified by the German spontaneous reporting database. All participants provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty, University of Kiel. All cases provided a 10 ml blood sample for DNA preparation. Clinical data were collected from hospital or medical practice records. Only 10 cases who were classified on causality assessment as having at least possible flupiritine-related DILI were included in the study [9]. Causality assessment was performed using the Council for International Organizations of Medical Science scale, also called the Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (RUCAM) [10], and by an expert review from two hepatologists. The pattern of liver injury was classified according to the International Consensus Meeting Criteria [10]. The discovery portion of the analysis was a GWAS that utilized the six initially available flupirtine DILI cases together with an additional 614 DILI cases that had also passed expert adjudication, but related to other drugs, with genotyping performed on the Infinium HumanCoreExome BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA). Imputed HLA genotypes were later confirmed where possible by direct HLA typing. A further four flupirtine DILI cases became available later and were HLA typed using a sequence-based assay. These served as the replication cohort to confirm the HLA association for flupirtine-related DILI identified in the discovery phase.

European controls

As DILI has a very low prevalence, we used general population samples as study controls. The validity of this approach in studies on ADRs has been discussed in detail previously [11,12]. We selected 10588 ethnicity-matched White controls from several available sources: the Welcome Trust Case Control Consortium (http://www. wtccc.org.uk), POPulation REference Sample collection [11], the PGX40001 clinical study [13], the dbGAP study phs000346.v1 [14], the hypergenes cohort (http://www. hypergenes.eu), the National Spanish DNA Bank cohort (http://www.bancoadn.org/), the Swedish Twin Registry cohort (http://ki.se/en/research/the-swedish-twin-registry) and the Italian Penicillin Tolerant Cohort. As the hypergenes dataset contains only autonomic chromosomes, the sex chromosomes were not considered in the analysis. Information on the genotyping platform used by each of the control cohorts is reported in Supplementary Table S1 (Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww.com/FPC/ A989). The number of controls chosen was based on the power calculations reported in a previous study [12] but also to ensure appropriate ethnic matching for the entire DILI cohort of 620 cases who were recruited from a range of both Northern and Southern European countries.

Quality checks for each genotyped cohort

Quality checks (QC) were performed at both single marker and patient levels before performing the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) imputation. Any marker that did not fulfil the following criteria was excluded from analysis: (i) genotype call rate in the batch of patients greater than 95%, (ii) missing genotype rate greater than 5% and (iii) *P*-value for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium greater than 10^{-7} in controls (if applicable). Any patient who did not fulfil the following criteria was excluded from analysis: (i) missing genotype rate less than 0.05 among the SNPs that passed QC; (ii) not a sample duplicate or closely related on the basis of estimated identity-by-descent using PLINK v 1.07.

HLA genotyping

DNA was prepared as described previously [15]. Highresolution genotyping of HLA-A, B, C, DRB1, DQA1

Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

and DQB1 was performed on all cases by Histogenetics (Ossining, New York, USA). Sequencing data files were analysed using Histogenetics' proprietary analysis software for HLA genotype calling (Histomatcher and HistoMagic). Allele assignments are based on the IMGT/ HLA Database release, version 2.21.0, dated April 2008 (*http://www.ebi.ac.uk/imgt/hla/*).

SNP imputation

For each cohort, SNPs and samples with poor-quality data were pruned before the imputation to avoid false positives (See Supplementary Material, Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww.com/FPC/A989). The imputation was performed in batches by dividing the cohorts according to genotyping platform. For each batch, we first phased the data by SHAPEIT (version v2.r727) to increase the accuracy of the imputation [16]. Then, imputation was carried out using IMPUTE2 (version 3) [17] with data from the 1000 Genomes Project (release v3) [16] as the reference panel. We used an ethnic mixed panel to improve the quality of the imputation [18]. We retained imputed genotypes with: (i) posterior probability greater than 0.9 in each genotyping batch, (ii) no significant difference in missingness between cases and controls (χ^2 -test, P > 0.0001), (iii) no significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.0001), (iv) no missing data at a frequency greater than 5% in each single genotyping batch, (v) info score greater than 0.8 in each genotyping batch and (vi) minor allele frequency in the 1000 Genomes Project greater than or equal to 0.01. Batch effects for imputed SNPs were corrected by testing for an association between ethnically matched controls typed by different platforms (using logistic regression). SNPs with association P-values less than 0.005 were excluded from the analysis.

HLA imputation

For each cohort, HLA alleles were inferred using HIBAG [19] using the reference predictor panels specific for the genotyping chip.

Statistical analysis

The effect of population structure was assessed through principal components analysis using the smartPCA program from the EIGENSTRAT package (version 3.0) [20]. The statistical association of each genomic variant was determined by logistic regression using the first seven significant principal components as covariates under an additive model. Association analyses were carried out using PLINK 1.07 [21]. We set the GWAS genome-wide significance *P*-value threshold to 5×10^{-8} to correct for multiple testing. In total, we imputed 217 HLA alleles in the overall European cohort. We set the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-region-wide significance *P*-value threshold for the HLA allele association to 2.3×10^{-4} to correct for multiple testing

(Bonferroni correction). Fisher's exact test was used to test the HLA association when data from the allele frequencies repository (*http://www.allelefrequencies.net*) were analysed. The number of carriers was estimated on the basis of the reported HLA allele frequency assuming Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. The analyses were carried out using R (version 3.0.2) [22].

Results

Clinical characteristics of the cases

A summary of the clinical characteristics is presented in Table 1. The six cases used in the discovery GWAS analysis were recruited first and passed adjudication with RUCAM scores of between 3 and 8 (indicating a 'possible' or a 'probable' causal relationship). For one of these cases, the causal drug was ambiguous, with identical RUCAM scores for flupirtine and diclofenac. For the other five cases, flupirtine was found to be the sole cause of DILI. An additional five cases became available later. The RUCAM scores for these varied between 2 and 7. A single case showing a score of 2 was eliminated from the study, leaving four cases available for replication genotyping.

GWAS findings and HLA allele assignment by imputation

Principal component analysis confirmed the self-reported ethnicity for the cases and divided the controls into four major clusters (Fig. S1, Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww.com/FPC/A989). No genome-wide significant signal for flupirtine cases was detected in the GWAS (Supplementary Fig. S2, Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww.com/FPC/A989). Findings for other cases have been described elsewhere [23]. Table 2 summarizes the imputed *DRB1* and *DQB1* genotypes for all the flupirtine cases that passed adjudication. Three out of the six cases included in the GWAS were predicted to be heterozygous for two class II HLA alleles DRB1*16:01 and DOB1*05:02, showing higher allele frequency in cases (0.25) compared with the controls (0.013 for DRB1*16:01 and 0.017 for DQB1*05:02). These two HLA alleles have a similar frequency in the controls and are in linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 = 0.8$). Their combination forms a well-known haplotype observed in a range of populations worldwide (http://www. allelefrequencies.net). It was therefore probable that all three cases would be heterozygous for the HLA class II haplotype DRB1*16:01-DQB1*05:02, yielding a frequency of 0.25 for the haplotype. Interestingly, the single DILI case (case 5) for which causal drug assignment was ambiguous was predicted to be negative for the haplotype.

The OR of the haplotype DRB1*16:01-DQB1*05:02 in cases versus controls was 45 (95% CI 8.0–251.3, $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$). rs137931178 was the SNP with the lowest *P*-value in the MHC region (OR = 79, 95% CI

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the patients

Number	Study phase	Age/sex	DILI phenotype	RUCAM score	Time on flupirtine prior DILI	Exposure to other hepatotoxic drugs	Peak ALT (U/I)
1	Discovery phase (GWAS)	57/F	Hepatocellular	5 (Possible)	180	No	2460
2	Discovery phase (GWAS)	49/F	Hepatocellular	7 (Probable)	43	No	800
3	Discovery phase (GWAS)	40/F	Hepatocellular	3 (Possible)	164	No	868
4	Discovery phase (GWAS)	70/F	Hepatocellular	6 (Probable)	30	No	725
5	Discovery phase (GWAS)	77/M	Hepatocellular	8 (Probable)	15	Diclofenac	1856
6	Discovery phase (GWAS)	43/F	Hepatocellular	7 (Probable)	25	No	955
7	Replication phase	57/F	Hepatocellular	4 (Possible)	41	No	834
8	Replication phase	78/F	Hepatocellular	4 (Possible)	40	Metamizole	591
9	Not included	29/F	Hepatocellular	2 (Unlikely)	92	Oxycodon	477
10	Replication phase	52/F	Hepatocellular	3 (Possible)	20	No	2042
11	Replication phase	55/F	Hepatocellular	7 (Probable)	60	No	2190

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; DILI, drug-induced liver injury; GWAS, genome-wide association study; RUCAM, Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method.

Table 2 HLA DRB1 and DQB1 genotypes in cases showing possible or higher causality

Number	Type of case	Genotyping method	DRB1 genotype		DQB1 genotype	
1	GWAS	Imputation and direct typing	11:04:01	16:01:01	03:01:01	05:02:01
2	GWAS	Imputation	01:01	03:01	02:01	05:01
3	GWAS	Imputation and direct typing	04:04:01	16:01:01	03:02:01	05:02:01
4	GWAS	Imputation	11:01	16:01	03:01	05:02
5	GWAS	Imputation	14:01	15:01	05:03	06:02
6	GWAS	Imputation	07:01	13:01	03:03	06:03
7	Replication	Direct typing	04:04:01	07:01:01G	02:01:01G	03:02:01G
8	Replication	Direct typing	07:01:01G	16:01:01	03:03:02	05:02:01
10	Replication	Direct typing	03:01:01G	04:08:01	02:01:01	03:04:01
11	Replication	Direct typing	04:01:01	16:01:01	03:01:01	05:02:01

Where direct typing was performed, six digit genotypes are shown if available. Imputed genotypes were to four digits only.

GWAS, genome-wide association study; HLA human leucocyte antigen.

11.72–533.7, $P = 7.2 \times 10^{-6}$) and correlated with these HLA alleles in the controls (r^2 of 0.7 with *DRB1*16:01*). The frequency of the imputed haplotype *DRB1*16:01*. The frequency of the European nonflupirtine DILI cases from the iDILIC cohort was found to be 0.015, which was comparable with the frequency of this haplotype in the overall controls (0.013), suggesting that the apparent association is specific to flupirtine-related DILI.

Haplotype frequency data are not available for any large general European or German cohorts, but there are detailed data on individual allele frequencies available (*http://www.allelefrequencies.net*). The frequency of *DRB1** 16:01 in the largest European group (*USA NMDP European Caucasian cohort*, n = 1 242 890 individuals) was 0.014 and confirmed our estimate of 0.013 for European controls. To further assess the significance of the candidate association with *DRB1*16:01*, we used population-specific frequency data for *DRB1*16:01* only in Germans (*German pop 8*, n = 39 689), where *DRB1*16:01* occurred at a frequency of 0.026. On the basis of carriage of the *DRB1*16:01* allele, the population-corrected OR for flupirtine DILI was 18.7 (95% CI 2.5–140.5, P = 0.002).

Confirmation of the imputed HLA genotypes and replication of the association

We used sequence-based HLA typing to profile the four replication cases along with two of the six cases from the discovery GWAS phase. The imputed HLA genotypes

were confirmed for two of the three GWAS cases predicted to carry DRB1*16:01-DQB1*05:02. No DNA from the third positive case and the cases predicted to be negative for the DRB1*16:01 haplotype was available for the additional typing. As summarized in Table 2, two of the four replication cases were heterozygous for DRB1*16:01 and DQB1*05:02, yielding an allele frequency of 0.25 for both alleles in line with the GWAS findings. This suggested an overall frequency of 0.25 for the haplotype among the 10 confirmed DILI cases, with 50% of all cases heterozygous carriers of the risk haplotype. In the combined cohort, we estimated the Germanspecific OR for carriage of DRB1*16:01 to be 18.74 (95%) CI 4.31–81.42). After correction for multiple comparisons for the entire MHC region, the association remained statistically significant (uncorrected *P*-value 6.7×10^{-5}).

Genotypes for polymorphisms relevant to flupirtine metabolism

NAT2, *UGT1A1* and *GSTP1* are involved in flupirtine metabolism [8], and these genes are also subject to common polymorphisms with well-established phenotypic effects. *NAT2* (rs1801280, rs1799930, rs1799931) and *GSTP1* (rs1695) genotypes relevant to phenotype were available from the GWAS data. Three cases (50% of the group) were predicted to be NAT2 slow acetylators with genotypes of *5/*6 (two cases) and *5/*5 (one case). The other three samples were fast acetylators with genotypes of *4/*5 (two samples) and *4/*4 (one sample).

This frequency of NAT2 slow acetylators is not significantly different from that in Europeans generally, which has been estimated to be 57% from genome sequencing [24]. Three cases were heterozygous for GSTP1 rs1695, with the other three homozygous wild type for this allele. The frequency of this polymorphism in our Europeans controls (0.34) was higher than that in cases (0.25), but it was not significantly different (OR = 0.7, 95% CI 0.2-2.7, P = 0.6). For UGT1A1, genotype data for the well-characterized TA repeat polymorphism that can be used to predict the decreased UGT1A1 expression that gives rise to Gilbert's syndrome were not available in our cases. However, genotypes for rs4124874, which is a tag SNP for the most common haplotype associated with normal UGT1A1 activity [25]. were available. We found that the frequency of this SNP in the cases was not significantly different from the controls (OR = 0.64, 95% CI 0.18–2.24, P = 0.49).

Discussion

We found an association of DRB1*16:01-DOB1*05:02 with flupirtine-related DILI, with carriers of this haplotype being at a 19-fold higher risk of developing a clinically significant ADR. The finding that HLA alleles confer an increased risk is consistent with previous reports on DILI because of a number of other drugs [26] as well as previous clinical and histological reports of immune-mediated toxicity with moderate lymphocytic infiltration and extensive perivenular necrosis observed on liver biopsy in some flupirtine DILI cases [2,5]. This indicates that the increased risk of DILI because of flupirtine in DRB1*16:01-DQB1*05:02 carriers is likely to involve an inappropriate T cell response within the liver. Both HLA class I and II associations with DILI because of other drugs have been reported previously, although the current study is the first report of an association with DRB1*16:01-DQB1*05:02. A class II haplotype that includes DRB1*15:01 has been shown to be associated with both coamoxiclav and lumiracoxib DILI in previous studies [27,28]. There is homology between DRB1*15:01 and DRB1*16:01, with both being members of the DR2 serotype group. However, despite showing sequence homology, the alleles have distinct disease associations. For example, DRB1*15:01 is protective against type I diabetes, but this protective effect does not extend to DRB1*16:01 [29], whereas DRB1*15:01 is a wellestablished susceptibility factor for multiple sclerosis, but DRB1*16:01 is not a risk factor [30].

So far, HLA associations for DILI with a predominantly hepatocellular phenotype have been found with class II but not class I alleles (e.g. lapatinib [31], ximelagatran [32], lumiracoxib [28]) and the new findings for flupirtine are in line with this. However, certain cholestatic/mixed reactions to single drugs such as flucloxacillin [33] and ticlopidine [34] involve HLA class I alleles. The risk of DILI involving the antimicrobial coamoxiclav appears to be higher in individuals expressing particular HLA class I and/or class II alleles, but this more complex association appears to involve inappropriate T cell responses to both drugs [35] and the phenotype, although most frequently cholestatic or mixed, is sometimes hepatocellular [36]. The underlying mechanism by which inappropriate T cell reactions are triggered in DILI reactions remains unclear, but recent in-vitro studies on T cell responses in DILI cases because of flucloxacillin and coamoxiclav [35, 37] suggest that a hapten mechanism is more likely than a direct interaction by the drug with the HLA protein of the type that appears to occur in abacavir hypersensitivity [38]. Further similar investigations on the mechanism involved in the apparently exclusively HLA class IIassociated flupirtine DILI would be interesting.

Specific HLA alleles have been identified as strong risk factors for the development of ADRs in studies involving small numbers of cases, but the current study appears to have involved the smallest discovery cohort to date, with only six cases. Some previous studies showing HLA associations with DILI involved only slightly larger numbers of cases, for example 22 cases for the ticlopidine DILI association with HLA-A*33:03 [34] and 35 cases in the original report of the association of HLA-DRB1*15:01 with coamoxiclay DILI [39]. These associations were also with relatively rare HLA alleles. In the current study, the very low population frequency of the 'at risk' haplotype combined with the relatively large effect size were important factors in our ability to detect a significant genetic association when only a small number of cases were available. The subsequent availability of replication cases was a further advantage. It remains possible that HLA associations relevant to other ADRs may be detectable when similarly small numbers of cases are available.

No other genetic risk factors with high ORs were detected in the GWAS. The metabolic pathway of flupirtine is now well understood and it has been suggested that quinone imine intermediates could contribute towards the liver toxicity [8]. In particular, it was suggested previously that the well-established polymorphisms in NAT2, UGT1A1 and GSTP1 could influence levels of toxic metabolites, but a study in healthy volunteers failed to detect statistically significant differences in pharmacokinetics between the various genotype groups, except for a small difference for GSTP1 [8]. Our study confirmed that the frequencies of relevant polymorphisms from the GWAS data were similar to those observed in European populations generally; thus, these genotypes do not appear to be risk factors. However, in view of the small numbers of cases studied, the findings need to be treated with caution.

It should be noted that only a very small proportion of the total risk of DILI will relate to the HLA genotype described in the current study, but factors such as age older than 60 years and female sex also appear to contribute towards risk. The age and sex distribution of the cases in the current study together with the DILI phenotype are in agreement with previous reports on DILI because of other drugs [40]. Age older than 60 years has been described as a risk factor for flucloxacillin DILI, where there is also a strong association with the HLA genotype [33]. Flupirtine DILI appears to manifest relatively early in the course of therapy, with 31% of patients treated for at least 6 weeks showing an increase in alanine aminotransferase and/or aspartate aminotransferase in excess of three-fold above ULN [5]. Another study, however, reported elevated 'liver enzymes/bilirubin' in three of 105 patients treated with the drug for 5–7 days [41]. In line with some patients showing toxicity after relatively short exposures, the mean length of exposure in the current study was 65 days, but the overall exposure length ranged from 15 to 180 days. However, this duration was above the recommended maximum treatment period of 14 days [4] in all cases.

In summary, we have found a strong association between flupirtine DILI and the *DRB1*16:01-DQB1*05:02* haplotype, adding further evidence to the involvement of the adaptive immune system in the pathogenesis of DILI.

Acknowledgements

The genome-wide association study, HLA genotyping and iDILIC sample collection were funded by the International Serious Adverse Events Consortium with Daiichi-Sankyo, support from Abbott, Amgen, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Takeda and the Wellcome Trust. Additional support was provided by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit at the Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and University of Nottingham. The authors are very grateful to Arthur Holden and the International Serious Adverse Events consortium for scientific, operational and financial support. Special thanks are due to Mark Daly and colleagues for their contribution towards the GWAS genotyping. They also acknowledge the contribution of all our clinical collaborators and the study participants. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the UK Department of Health.

iDILIC investigators: Guruprasad P. Aithal, National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit, Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust and University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; Raul J. Andrade, IBIMA Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Victoria, Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain and CIBERehd, Madrid, Spain; Fernando Bessone,

Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Rosario, Argentina; Einar Bjornsson, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, The National University Hospital of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland; Ingolf Cascorbi, Institute for Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany: Ann K. Dalv, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: John F. Dillon, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK; Christopher P. Day, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; Par Hallberg, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; Nelia Hernández, Universidad de la Republica, Montevideo, Uruguay; Luisa Ibanez, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; Gerd A. Kullak-Ublick, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; Tarja Laitinen, Helsinki Central Hospital, Helsinki, University Finland; Dominique Larrey, Hôpital Saint Eloi, Montpellier, France; M. Isabel Lucena, IBIMA Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Victoria, Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain and CIBERehd, Madrid, Spain; Anke Maitlandvan der Zee, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands; Jennifer H. Martin, University of Queensland School of Medicine and Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia; Dick Menzies, MUHC and McGill University, Montreal Chest Institute, Montreal, Canada; Mariam Munir Molokhia, King's College, London, UK; Pirmohamed, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK; Shengying Qin, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China; Mia Wadelius, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Kornhuber J, Bleich S, Wiltfang J, Maler M, Parsons CG. Flupirtine shows functional NMDA receptor antagonism by enhancing Mg²⁺ block via activation of voltage independent potassium channels. Rapid communication. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 1999; **106** (9–10):857–867.
- 2 Puls F, Agne C, Klein F, Koch M, Rifai K, Manns MP, et al. Pathology of flupirtine-induced liver injury: a histological and clinical study of six cases. *Virchows Arch* 2011; **458**:709–716.
- 3 Anderson N, Borlak J. Correlation versus causation? Pharmacovigilance of the analgesic flupirtine exemplifies the need for refined spontaneous ADR reporting. *PLoS One* 2011; 6:e25221.
- 4 Douros A, Bronder E, Andersohn F, Klimpel A, Thomae M, Orzechowski HD, et al. Flupirtine-induced liver injury – seven cases from the Berlin case–control surveillance study and review of the German spontaneous adverse drug reaction reporting database. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2014; 70:453–459.
- 5 Michel MC, Radziszewski P, Falconer C, Marschall-Kehrel D, Blot K. Unexpected frequent hepatotoxicity of a prescription drug, flupirtine, marketed for about 30 years. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2012; 73:821–825.
- 6 Douros A, Bronder E, Andersohn F, Klimpel A, Thomae M, Sarganas G, et al. Drug-induced liver injury: results from the hospital-based Berlin case–control surveillance study. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2015; **79**:988–999.
- 7 Methling K, Reszka P, Lalk M, Vrana O, Scheuch E, Siegmund W, *et al.* Investigation of the in vitro metabolism of the analgesic flupirtine. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2009; **37**:479–493.
- 8 Siegmund W, Modess C, Scheuch E, Methling K, Keiser M, Nassif A, *et al.* Metabolic activation and analgesic effect of flupirtine in healthy subjects,

influence of the polymorphic NAT2, UGT1A1 and GSTP1. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2015; **79**:501–513.

- 9 Aithal GP, Watkins PB, Andrade RJ, Larrey D, Molokhia M, Takikawa H, et al. Case definition and phenotype standardization in drug-induced liver injury. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2011; **89**:806–815.
- 10 Danan G, Benichou C. Causality assessment of adverse reactions to drugs I. A novel method based on the conclusions of international consensus meetings: application to drug-induced liver injuries. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993; 46:1323–1330.
- 11 Nelson MR, Bryc K, King KS, Indap A, Boyko AR, Novembre J, et al. The Population Reference Sample, POPRES: a resource for population, disease, and pharmacological genetics research. Am J Hum Genet 2008; 83:347–358.
- 12 Urban TJ, Shen Y, Stolz A, Chalasani N, Fontana RJ, Rochon J, et al. Limited contribution of common genetic variants to risk for liver injury due to a variety of drugs. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2012; 22:784–795.
- 13 Pirmohamed M, Arbuckle JB, Bowman CE, Brunner M, Burns DK, Delrieu O, et al. Investigation into the multidimensional genetic basis of drug-induced Stevens–Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Pharmacogenomics* 2007; 8:1661–1691.
- 14 Rothman N, Garcia-Closas M, Chatterjee N, Malats N, Wu X, Figueroa JD, et al. A multi-stage genome-wide association study of bladder cancer identifies multiple susceptibility loci. Nat Genet 2010; 42:978–984.
- 15 Daly AK, Steen VM, Fairbrother KS, Idle JR. CYP2D6 multiallelism. *Methods Enzymol* 1996; 272:199–210.
- 16 Delaneau O, Zagury JF, Marchini J. Improved whole-chromosome phasing for disease and population genetic studies. *Nat Methods* 2013; 10:5–6.
- 17 Marchini J, Howie B. Genotype imputation for genome-wide association studies. *Nat Rev Genet* 2010; 11:499–511.
- 18 Howie BN, Donnelly P, Marchini J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet* 2009; 5:e1000529.
- 19 Zheng X, Shen J, Cox C, Wakefield JC, Ehm MG, Nelson MR, Weir BS. HIBAG – HLA genotype imputation with attribute bagging. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2014; 14:192–200.
- 20 Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* 2006; **38**:904–909.
- 21 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007; 81:559–575.
- 22 The R Project for Statistical Computing. Available at: http://www.r-project. org [Accessed 3 December 2015].
- 23 Aithal GP, Nicoletti P, Bjornsson E, Lucena MI, Andrade RJ, Grove J, et al. HLA-A*33:01 is strongly associated with drug-induced liver injury (DILI) due to terbinafine and several other unrelated compounds. *Hepatology* 2015; 62:324A–327A.
- 24 Daly AK. Pharmacogenetics of drug metabolizing enzymes in the United Kingdom population: review of current knowledge and comparison with selected European populations. *Drug Metabol Personal Ther* 2015; 30:165–174.
- 25 Kringen MK, Piehler AP, Grimholt RM, Opdal MS, Haug KB, Urdal P. Serum bilirubin concentration in healthy adult North-Europeans is strictly controlled by the UGT1A1 TA-repeat variants. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**:e90248.
- 26 Urban TJ, Daly AK, Aithal GP. Genetic basis of drug-induced liver injury: present and future. Semin Liver Dis 2014; 34:123–133.

- 27 Lucena MI, Molokhia M, Shen Y, Urban TJ, Aithal GP, Andrade RJ, et al. Susceptibility to amoxicillin-clavulanate-induced liver injury is influenced by multiple HLA class I and II alleles. *Gastroenterology* 2011; 141:338–347.
- 28 Singer JB, Lewitzky S, Leroy E, Yang F, Zhao X, Klickstein L, et al. A genomewide study identifies HLA alleles associated with lumiracoxib-related liver injury. Nat Genet 2010; 42:711–714.
- 29 Noble JA, Valdes AM, Cook M, Klitz W, Thomson G, Erlich HA. The role of HLA class II genes in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: molecular analysis of 180 Caucasian, multiplex families. *Am J Hum Genet* 1996; 59:1134–1148.
- 30 Hollenbach JA, Oksenberg JR. The immunogenetics of multiple sclerosis: a comprehensive review. J Autoimmun 2015; 64:13–25.
- 31 Parham LR, Briley LP, Li L, Shen J, Newcombe PJ, King KS, et al. Comprehensive genome-wide evaluation of lapatinib-induced liver injury yields a single genetic signal centered on known risk allele HLA-DRB1*07:01. Pharmacogenomics J 2015. [Epub ahead of print].
- 32 Kindmark A, Jawaid A, Harbron CG, Barratt BJ, Bengtsson OF, Andersson TB, et al. Genome-wide pharmacogenetic investigation of a hepatic adverse event without clinical signs of immunopathology suggests an underlying immune pathogenesis. Pharmacogenomics J 2008; 8:186–195.
- 33 Daly AK, Donaldson PT, Bhatnagar P, Shen Y, Pe'er I, Floratos A, et al. HLA-B*5701 genotype is a major determinant of drug-induced liver injury due to flucloxacillin. Nat Genet 2009; 41:816–819.
- 34 Hirata K, Takagi H, Yamamoto M, Matsumoto T, Nishiya T, Mori K, et al. Ticlopidine-induced hepatotoxicity is associated with specific human leukocyte antigen genomic subtypes in Japanese patients: a preliminary case-control study. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2008; 8:29–33.
- 35 Kim SH, Saide K, Farrell J, Faulkner L, Tailor A, Ogese M, et al. Characterization of amoxicillin- and clavulanic acid-specific T cells in patients with amoxicillin-clavulanate-induced liver injury. *Hepatology* 2015; 62:887–899.
- 36 Lucena MI, Andrade RJ, Fernández MC, Pachkoria K, Pelaez G, Durán JA, et al. Spanish Group for the Study of Drug-Induced Liver Disease (Grupo de Estudio para las Hepatopatías Asociadas a Medicamentos (GEHAM)). Determinants of the clinical expression of amoxicillin-clavulanate hepatotoxicity: a prospective series from Spain. *Hepatology* 2006; 44:850–856.
- 37 Monshi MM, Faulkner L, Gibson A, Jenkins RE, Farrell J, Earnshaw CJ, et al. Human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-B*57:01-restricted activation of drugspecific T cells provides the immunological basis for flucloxacillin-induced liver injury. *Hepatology* 2013; 57:727–739.
- 38 Illing PT, Vivian JP, Dudek NL, Kostenko L, Chen Z, Bharadwaj M, et al. Immune self-reactivity triggered by drug-modified HLA-peptide repertoire. *Nature* 2012; 486:554–558.
- 39 Hautekeete ML, Horsmans Y, Van Waeyenberge C, Demanet C, Henrion J, Verbist L, *et al.* HLA association of amoxicillin-clavulanate – induced hepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1999; **117**:1181–1186.
- 40 Lucena MI, Andrade RJ, Kaplowitz N, García-Cortes M, Fernández MC, Romero-Gomez M, et al. Spanish Group for the Study of Drug-Induced Liver Disease. Phenotypic characterization of idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury: the influence of age and sex. *Hepatology* 2009; **49**:2001–2009.
- 41 Li C, Ni J, Wang Z, Li M, Gasparic M, Terhaag B, Uberall MA. Analgesic efficacy and tolerability of flupirtine vs. tramadol in patients with subacute low back pain: a double-blind multicentre trial. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008; 24:3523–3530.